IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA **SOUTHERN DIVISION**

NANCY MARTIN and, MARY BETH BRACKIN,

Plaintiffs,

٧.) Case No. 1:05-CV-1172-MEF

CITY OF DOTHAN and JUDGE ROSE EVANS-GORDON,

Defendants.

PLAINTIFF BRACKIN'S PROPOSED SPECIAL **INTERROGATORIES TO JURY**

The jury interrogatories listed below is associated with certain claims raised by Brackin in her Second Amended Complaint and follows certain designated jury instructions which are filed contemporaneous with the instructions

SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES TO THE JURY FOR INSTRUCTION NO. 1.1.1

Do you find from a preponderance of the evidence:

1.	That the actions of the Defendants were "under color" of				
the authority of the State?					
	Answer Yes or No				
2.	That the Plaintiff engaged in speech activity				
concerning	J				
the Defendants' belief that Plaintiff had advised a citizen that he					
had been wrongfully arrested and that a city official had been					
negligent?					
	Answer Yes or No				
3.	That such speech activity was a substantial or				
motivating factor in the Defendants' decision to suspend the					
Plaintiff from employment and place her on two year probation?					
	Answer Yes or No				
4.	That the Defendants' acts were the proximate or legal				
cause of damages sustained by the Plaintiff?					
	Answer Yes or No				

Note: If you answered No to any of the

preceding questions you need not answer any of the remaining questions.

	5.	That the Plaintiff would have been suspended from							
	0.	·							
empl	oyme	nt for	othe	r reasons	s even in	the a	absence of	f the Plainti	ffs
prote	ected	speec	h act	ivity?					
	Answer Yes or No								
	Note: If you answered Yes to Question No. 4 you need not answer the remaining questions.								
	6.	That	the	Plaintiff	should	l be	awarded	damages	6
to co	mper	sate f	or a r	net loss o	f wages	and	benefits du	uring the	
period that she was suspended?									
		Ansv	ver Y	eso	r No				
		If you	ur ans	swer is Y	es,				
		in wh	nat ar	nount?		\$			
	7.	That	the	Plaintiff	should	be	awarded	damages	to
comp	oensa	te for	emot	ional paiı	n and me	ental	anguish?		
Ansv	ver Ye	es	_ or N	lo					
	If your answer is Yes,								
	in what amount?								

That the Defendant acted with malice or reckless 8. indifference to the Plaintiffs federally protected rights and that

punitive damages should be assess	ed against the Defendant?
Answer Yes or No	
If your answer is Yes, in what amount?	\$
SO SAY WE ALL.	
DATED:	Foreperson

SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES TO THE JURY **FOR INSTRUCTION NO. 1.2.1**

Do you find from a preponderance of the evidence:

That the Plaintiff was discharged from employment by the						
Defendant?						
Answer Yes or No						
2. That the Plaintiffs race was a substantial or motivating factor						
that prompted the Defendant to take that action?						
Answer Yes or No						
Note: If you answered No to either Question No. 1 or Question No. 2 you need not answer the remaining question.						
3. That the Plaintiff would have been discharged from						
employment for other reasons even in the absence of consideration						
of the Plaintiffs race?						
Answer Yes or No						
[Note: If you answered Yes to Question No. 3, you need not answer the remaining questions.]						
4. That the Plaintiff should be awarded damages to						

compensate for a net loss of wages and benefits to the date of trial?						
Answer Yes or No						
If your answer is Yes,						
in what amount? \$]						
5. That the Plaintiff should be awarded damages to						
compensate for emotional pain and mental anguish?						
Answer Yes or No						
If your answer is Yes, in what amount? \$						
6(a). That a higher management official of the Defendant acted with						
malice or reckless indifference to the Plaintiffs federally protected						
rights?						
Answer Yes or No						
(b) If your answer to 6(a) is Yes, do you further find that the						
Defendant itself had not acted in a good faith attempt to comply with						
the law by adopting policies and procedures designed to prohibit						
such discrimination in the workplace?						
Answer Yes or No						
(c) If your answers are Yes, to both 6(a) and (b), what amount						
of punitive damages, if any, should be assessed against the						
Defendant?						

\$_____-SO SAY WE ALL.

Foreperson

Case 1:05-cv-01172-MEF-TFM Document 108-2 Filed 02/18/2008 Page 7 of 10

DATED:_____

SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES TO THE JURY **FOR INSTRUCTION NO. 1.3.1**

Do you find from a preponderance of the evidence:

 That the Plainti 	ff was discharged from employment by the							
Defendant?								
Answer Yes	Answer Yes or No							
2. That the Plair	That the Plaintiffs race was a substantial or							
motivating factor that pro	mpted the Defendant to take that action?							
Answer Yes	or No							
Question 2 you	swered No to either n No. 1 or Question No. need not answer the g questions]							
3. That the Pla	aintiff should be awarded damages							
to compensate for a net lo	ss of wages and benefits to the date of							
trial?								
Answer Yes	_ or No							
If your answer in what amoun								
4. That the Plaintiff sh	nould be awarded damages to							
compensate for emotional	pain and mental anguish?							
Answer Yes	_ or No							
If your answer								
in what amoun	t? \$							

5(a).

That a higher management official of the Defendant acted

with	malice	or	reckless	indifference	to	the	Plaintiffs	federally
prote	protected rights?							
	А	nsw	ver Yes	or No				
(b)	o) If your answer is Yes, that the Defendant itself had not acted							
in a g	in a good faith attempt to comply with the law by adopting policies							
and procedures designed to prohibit such discrimination in the								
work	workplace?							
	А	เทรพ	ver Yes	or No				
(c)	If your answer is Yes, what amount of punitive damages, if							
any, should be assessed against the Defendant? \$								
	SO SA	ΥW	E ALL.					
DATI	≣D:				-	Forep	person	

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

/s/Ishmael Jaffree Ishmael Jaffree (IXJ 002)

Attorney for Plaintiffs,

THE LAW OFFICES OF ISHMAEL JAFFREE. 800 Downtowner Blvd. Ste. 106 B

Mobile, Alabama 36609 PH: (251) 694-9090 FAX: (251) 602-6842

EMAIL:ishjaff@yahoo.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on February 18, 2008, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Theodore P. Bell Carol Sue Nelson **Audrey Dupont** Maynard Cooper & Gale 1901 Sixth Avenue North Birmingham Alabama 35203-2618

> /s/Ishmael Jaffree Ishmael Jaffree